CF 5002 4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 878 OF 2004

BETWEEN

1. Smt. Dineshwari Borkar W/o Shri Manishanker Borkar, aged about 48 years, occupation Upper Division Teacher, Govt Higher Secondary School, Charama, district Kanker (CG).

2. Smt. Shashiprabha Dubey D/o Lilagar Prasad Sharma , aged about 40 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Boys Higher Secondary School Charama, District Kanker (CG).

3. Smt. Kaushalya Devdas Wd/o Late Gangaram Devdas, aged about 45 years, Occupation Assistant Teacher, Janpad Primary School Charama, District Kanker (CG).

4. Shekhuram Nagraj S/o Shri Hiralal Nagraj, aged about 42 years, occupation Assistant Teacher, Madhyamik Shala Haradula, Post Haradula, Via Lakhanpuri, District Kanker (CG).

5. Netram Sahu S/o Lekhram Sahu, aged 35 years, occupation Assistant Teacher, Primary School Aroud, Post Kotela, Via Lakhanpuri District Kanker (CG).

PETITIONER

A N D

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary Department of School Education, Mantralay, D.K.S. Building, Raipur, Tehsil and District Raipur (CG).

2. The Director, Public Instructions, Chhattisgarh, Raipur, Tehsil and District Raipur (CG).

3. Assistant Commissioner, Aadiwasi Vikas Aadim Jati Kalyan Vibhag, Kanker District Kanker (CG).

4. The Collector, Kanker District Kanker (CG).

5. The District Education Officer, Kanker , District Kanker (CG).

RESPONDENTS

P.R. No. 8/6/04
Presented by Shri Arun Kr. Nag
dated 29.03.04

CERTIFIED COPY
29-3-04
H.C. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

56.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 878 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

26.04.2004

Smt. Renu Kochar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Vinod Shrivastava, Govt. Advocate for the State.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to release of advance increments. She further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation afresh before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation afresh raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a month, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Read

[पीछे देखिये